



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Gladys H. Monroy
Morrison & Foerster LLP
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto CA 94304

COPY MAILED

AUG 1 0 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
KESAVAN ESUVARANATHAN, et al.	:	
Application No. 10/086,973	:	DECISION ON PETITIONS
Filed: March 1, 2002	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Attorney Docket No. 488002000200	:	AND 37 CFR 1.55(c)

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed March 7, 2006, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed PCT application PCT/SG00/00130 as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000 and after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.55(c) should not be construed as meaning that this application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for this application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) and 35 § U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) and 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-

filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether this application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed application, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Amelia Au at (571) 272-7414. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1635 for consideration by the examiner of applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c), and for entry of the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under § 1.114 filed concurrently on March 7, 2006



Frances Hicks
Lead Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt